

MINUTES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN DIEGO MINUTEMEN v.

CAL. BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY

Case No. 08cv0210 WQH(RBB)

Time Spent: \_\_\_\_\_

HON. RUBEN B. BROOKS

CT. DEPUTY VICKY LEE

Rptr.

Attorneys

Plaintiffs

Defendants

David Hayek

Jeff Benowitz

Stephanie Martin

PROCEEDINGS: \_\_\_\_\_ In Chambers \_\_\_\_\_ In Court \_\_\_\_\_ Telephonic

On March 14, 2008, Defendants filed their Objection and Opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Early Discovery [doc. no. 21]. The Court had previously found good cause for Expedited Discovery and granted Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Expedited Discovery on February 4, 2008 [doc. no. 10].

After considering the Defendants' Objection, the Court reaffirms its finding of good cause for expedited discovery. Good cause exists when "the need for expedited discovery, in consideration of the administration of justice, outweighs the prejudice to the responding party." Semitool, Inc. v. Tokyo Electron America, Inc., 208 F.R.D. 273, 276 (N.D. Cal. 2002). Even Stanley v. University of Southern California, 13 F.3d 1313, 1326 (9th Cir. 1994), cited by Defendants, does not support their objection. In Stanley, the court noted that the Plaintiff requesting injunctive relief could have sought a court order for discovery to support her motion for a preliminary injunction. Id. Defendants' objection is overruled.

DATE: March 17, 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED:

  
Ruben B. Brooks,  
U.S. Magistrate Judge

cc: Judge Hayes  
All Parties of Record

INITIALS: VL (mq/irc) Deputy